

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/942,192	08/29/2001	Anand G. Dabak	TI-31597 6981	
23494 TEXAS INSTI	7590 10/04/2007 RUMENTS INCORPORAT	ED	EXAMINER	
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			PHAM, TUAN	
DALLAS, TX	/3263		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/04/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/942,192	DABAK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TUAN A. PHAM	2618				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period variety received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 A</u>	<u>ugust 2007</u> .					
,						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	<u>-x рапе Quayle, 1935 С.Д. 11, 4</u>	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	or election requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
11) I he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer, Note the attached Office	e Action of John F 10-132.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Coo the attached detailed office detail for a factor the detailed depicts for rederious.						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	v (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application				
. apor 140(0)/141an Dato	-/ <u></u> ,					

Application/Control Number: 09/942,192 Page 2

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments, see Applicant's remark, filed on 08/06/2007, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 1-20 under 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made over Bjorndahl (U.S. Pub. No.: 2002/0065099) in view of Gerten et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,760,319).

Inventorship

2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,192 Page 3

Art Unit: 2618

4. <u>Claims 1-4, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bjorndahl (U.S. Pub. No.: 2002/0065099) in view of Gerten et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,760,319, hereinafter, "Gerten").</u>

Regarding claim 1, Bjorndahl teaches first and second communication devices (see figure 2, first device read on dual mode mobile device 20, second device read on dual mode base station 21, [0025]), and

the first communication device communicating with the second communication device using a IR mode of transmission (see figure 2, IR mode, [0026]) and a second mode of transmission (see figure 2, RF mode, [0025]).

It should be noticed that Bjorndahl fails to teach a piconet and short range is using Bluetooth. However, Gerten teaches such features (see figure 1, piconet 12, master communicate with slave by Bluetooth. It is clearly seen that using a short range such as IR or Bluetooth are well known in the art).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Gerten into view of Bjorndahl in order to provide a low cost short range in wireless communication as suggested by Gerten at col.1, ln.22-24.

Regarding claim 2, Bjorndahl further teaches the second mode of transmission is a higher speed mode than the Bluetooth mode (see [0025, 0040], it is clearly seen that the RF range is higher speed than Bluetooth range).

Regarding claim 3, after combine Bjorndahl and Gerten teaches claim invention,

Bjorndahl teaches the first communication device maintains synchronization between

Art Unit: 2618

the IR mode and the second mode at the physical layer (see figure 2, RF mode, IR mode, [0025-0027]). Gerten teaches such features (see figure 1, master communicate with slave by Bluetooth. It is clearly seen that using a short range such as IR or Bluetooth are well known in the art).

Regarding claim 4, Gerten further teaches the first communication device is a master (see figure 1, master device 20).

Regarding claim 14, Gerten further teaches the second communication device is a slave unit (see figure 1, piconet 10, slave 20).

5. <u>Claims 5-9, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerten et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,760,319, hereinafter, "Gerten") in view of Shoobridge et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,326,926, hereinafter, "Shoobridge") and further in view of Bjorndahl (U.S. Pub. No.: 2002/0065099).</u>

<u>Regarding claim 5</u>, Gerten teaches a scatternet (see figure 1, col.3, ln.7-10), comprising.

a first piconet having a first communication device operating therein (see figure 1, piconet 14, mobile device 24);

a second piconet having a second communication device operating therein (see figure 1, piconet 12, mobile device 22), and

a third communication device (see figure 1, master/slave mobile 22), enable to communicate in the first piconet and the second piconet (see figure 1, master/slave 22 communicate with piconet 12, piconet 14), communicating to the first communication

Art Unit: 2618

device using a Bluetooth mode (see figure 1, master/slave 22 communicate with piconet 12 via Bluetooth).

It should be noticed that Gerten fails to teach the third device is communicating to the second communication device using a second mode of transmission. However, Shoobridge teaches such features (see figure 3, figure 5, mobile 100 communicate with access point 24 b via IEEE 802.11).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Shoobridge into view of Gerten in order to provide the multi modes system without interference as suggested by Shoobridge at col.2, In.23-30.

Gerten and Shoobridge, in combination, fails to teach the synchronization between the IR mode and the second mode is maintained in the communication device. However, Bjorndahl teaches such features (see figure 2, the mobile 20 communicate with base station 21 via IR link and RF link, [0025-0027]). Since the dual mode mobile 20 can be replacement with the master/slave 22 of Gerten.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Bjorndahl into view of Gerten and Shoobridge in order to secure link is established by establishing between two devices for exchange of sensitive information as suggested by Bjorndahl at [0017].

Regarding claim 6, Gerten further teaches the third device comprises a slave unit (see figure 1, master/slave device 22).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 7, Gerten further teaches the first and second device comprises master unit (see figure 1, master unit 22 of piconet 12).

Regarding claim 8, Gerten further teaches the third device comprises a device which acts as a master unit when communicating with the first communication device and acts as a slave unit when communicating with the second communication device (see figure 1, mater/slave device 22).

Regarding claim 9, after combine, Gerten and Bjorndahl teaches claim invention. Bjorndahl teaches synchronization between the IR mode and the second mode is maintained in the communication device at the physical layer of the IR mode and the second mode (see figure 2, the mobile 20 communicate with base station 21 via IR link and RF link, [0025-0027]). Gerten teaches Bluetooth with third device (see figure 1, master/slave unit 22).

Regarding claim 15, Gerten further teaches the third communication device is a slave unit (see figure 1, master/slave unit 22).

Regarding claim 16, Gerten further teaches the first communication device is a master unit (see figure 1, master unit 24 in piconet 14).

Regarding claim 17, Gerten further teaches the second communication device is a master unit (see figure 1, master/slave unit 22 of piconet 12).

Regarding claim 18, Gerten further teaches the third communication device is a slave unit while communicating in the first piconet and is a master unit while communicating in the second piconet (see figure 1, master/slave unit 22, second piconet 12, first piconet 14).

Art Unit: 2618

Regarding claim 19, Gerten further teaches the first communication device is a master (see figure 1, master unit 24 in piconet 14).

Regarding claim 20, Gerten further teaches the second communication device is a slave unit (see figure 1, mobile unit 22).

6. Claims 10-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gerten et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,760,319, hereinafter, "Gerten") in view of Cannon et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 6,650,871, hereinafter, "Cannon") and further in view of Shellhammer et al. (U.S. Patent No.: 7,039,358, hereinafter, "Shellhammer").

Regarding claim 10, Gerten teaches a method for communicating between a first communication device, enable to communicate in a first piconet and a second piconet, and a plurality of other communication devices including a Bluetooth mode of operation (see figure 1, Bluetooth devices 22, master/slave mobile 22, piconet 12, piconet 14), comprising the steps of:

placing the first communication in the Bluetooth mode in order to communicate with a communication device from amongst the plurality of communication devices in the first piconet (see figure 1, master/slave 22 communicate with plurality mobiles 22 in the piconet 14).

It should be noticed that Gerten fails to teach a multiple modes device, and placing the first communication device in a second mode in order to communicate with a communication device from amongst the plurality of communication devices. However,

Art Unit: 2618

Cannon teaches a multiple modes device (see base unit 100a), and placing the first communication device (see figure 1, base unit 100 a) in a second mode (900 MHz) in order to communicate with a communication device from amongst the plurality of communication devices in the second piconet (see figure 1, base unit 100b, piconet B, plurality of mobiles 120-124, col.3, ln.39-67, col.4, ln.1-67).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Cannon into view of Gerten in order to provide a low cost Bluetooth device as suggested by Cannon at col.1, In.54-60.

Gerten and Cannon, in combination, fails to teach the second mode being the mode used by the plurality of communication devices in the second piconet. However, Shellhammer teaches such features (see figure 1, AP 20 and AP 30 are forming a second piconet for WLAN that support a second mode such as IEEE 802.11, col.6, ln.1-50).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teaching of Shellhammer into view of Gerten and Cannon in order to provide a low cost device to communicate in short range.

Regarding claim 11, Shellhammer further teaches first communication device in step (b) uses a "within mode synchronous" technique while in the second mode whereby the packets used to communicate with the communication device from amongst the plurality are only synchronous while the first

Art Unit: 2618

communication device is in the second mode (see figure 1, col.6, ln.1-50).

Regarding claim 12, Gerten further teaches the first communication device uses packets to communicate with the communication devices in step (a) and (b) which are "across mode synchronous" (see figure 1, col.1, ln.45-60).

Regarding claim 13, Cannon further teaches the communication device that the first communication device communicates with in step (a) and (b) is the same communication device from amongst the plurality of communication devices (see figure 1, base unit 100a, remote handset 102a, 102b, base unit 100b, col.3, ln.39-67, col.4, ln.1-67).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuan A. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-8097. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8:30 AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Anderson can be reached on (571) 272-4177. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,192 Page 10

Art Unit: 2618

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have question on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Technology 2600

Art Unit 2618

September 25, 2007

Examiner

Tuan Pham